

## MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL

held at the Council House, Old Market Square

on 14 September 2015 from 14.00 – 15.48

---

✓ Councillor Jackie Morris (Lord Mayor)

---

✓ Councillor Liaqat Ali	✓ Councillor Carole Jones
✓ Councillor Jim Armstrong	✓ Councillor Gul Nawaz Khan
✓ Councillor Cat Arnold	✓ Councillor Neghat Nawaz Khan
✓ Councillor Leslie Ayoola	Councillor Ginny Klein
✓ Councillor Ilyas Aziz	✓ Councillor Dave Liversidge
✓ Councillor Alex Ball	✓ Councillor Sally Longford
✓ Councillor Steve Battlemuch	✓ Councillor Carole McCulloch
✓ Councillor Merlita Bryan	Councillor Nick McDonald
Councillor Eunice Campbell	✓ Councillor David Mellen
✓ Councillor Graham Chapman	✓ Councillor Toby Neal
✓ Councillor Azad Choudhry	Councillor Alex Norris
✓ Councillor Alan Clark	✓ Councillor Brian Parbutt
Councillor Jon Collins	✓ Councillor Anne Peach
✓ Councillor Josh Cook	✓ Councillor Sarah Piper
✓ Councillor Georgina Culley	✓ Councillor Andrew Rule
✓ Councillor Michael Edwards	✓ Councillor Mohammed Saghir
✓ Councillor Pat Ferguson	✓ Councillor David Smith
✓ Councillor Chris Gibson	✓ Councillor Wendy Smith
✓ Councillor Brian Grocock	Councillor Chris Tansley
✓ Councillor John Hartshorne	✓ Councillor Dave Trimble
✓ Councillor Rosemary Healy	✓ Councillor Jane Urquhart
✓ Councillor Nicola Heaton	Councillor Marcia Watson
✓ Councillor Mohammed Ibrahim	✓ Councillor Sam Webster
✓ Councillor Patience Ifediora	Councillor Michael Wildgust
✓ Councillor Coral Jenkins	✓ Councillor Malcolm Wood
✓ Councillor Glyn Jenkins	✓ Councillor Linda Woodings
Councillor Sue Johnson	✓ Councillor Steve Young

**30 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Councillor Eunice Campbell – other Council business  
Councillor Jon Collins – other Council business  
Councillor Sue Johnson – illness  
Councillor Ginny Klein – other business  
Councillor Nick McDonald – other business  
Councillor Alex Norris – other business  
Councillor Chris Tansley – other business  
Councillor Marcia Watson – personal reasons  
Councillor Mick Wildgust – other business

**31 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS**

Glen O'Connell, Acting Corporate Director for Resources and Andy Vaughan, Interim Corporate Director for Communities, both declared interests in agenda item 12, the Appointment of Corporate Directors and left the chamber for consideration of this item.

**32 QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS FROM CITIZENS**

**Questions from citizens**

Mr M N asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Culture, to receive a written response:

Would the Executive member for Leisure and Culture inform the Council and the public of the plans for Nottingham Castle? How many bids have been submitted and what is the status of these bids and future works? Would the executive member agree in the interests of transparency to be guided by a genuine Scrutiny process throughout the implementation of present and future funding, and alongside future works.

**Petitions from citizens**

Councillor Jim Armstrong presented a petition signed by 36 residents from Oakfield Close, Oakfield Road and Hilary Close in Wollaton, requesting repairs and maintenance of the pavement on Oakfield Road and Oakfield Close, Wollaton.

**33 TO CONFIRM THE MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD ON 13 JULY 2015**

The minutes of the meeting held on 13 July 2015 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Lord Mayor.

**34 TO RECEIVE OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL AND/OR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE**

In the absence of both the Chief Executive and the Deputy Chief Executive, the Acting Corporate Director for Resources reported the following official communications:

Four out of seven Nottingham City Council Ofsted Registered Children's homes were inspected during July and August 2015 under new much more robust and stringent frameworks, and all four homes received the overall judgment of GOOD. Ofsted comments included: that young people are settled and feel they belong; young people's safety and welfare is a top priority; staff monitor young people's physical health and emotional wellbeing very effectively; young people are fully respected for their own attributes and personality; care delivered is very person centred and individualised; young people with complex disabilities are well protected in the home; homes are managed well.

Nottingham City Council's high profile frontline services have won the APSE Award for Best Service Team for Street Cleansing and Streetscene Services. Nottingham City Council's Streetscene Teams were recognised for "developing innovative street cleansing services to increase citizen satisfaction whilst tackling budget pressures."

Former County Councillor Florence Price sadly passed away on 19 August 2015. She represented St Ann's ward from 1981 to 1985 and Aspley ward from 1985 to 1993.

Councillor Chris Gibson spoke in tribute to former Nottinghamshire County Councillor Florence Price.

A minute's silence was held.

### **35 COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS**

#### Fuel Poverty

Councillor Sally Longford asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Energy and Sustainability:

Can the Portfolio Holder for Energy and Sustainability please outline the impacts of proposed cuts in relation to feed-in tariffs on the City of Nottingham and its consequential impact upon fuel poverty in the city?

Councillor Alan Clark replied as follows:

Thank you, Lord Mayor, and I thank Councillor Longford for her question. The level of reduction in relation to feed-in tariffs is not known at this stage. The Department for Energy and Climate Change (DECC) consultation period ends on the 23 October 2015 after which the Government will publish its proposed changes and this is likely to apply from January 2016. It seems likely that since the introduction of feed-in tariffs in 2010, when the income was 42p per unit, to the current rate of about 12p a unit, that the new rate is likely to be about 2p a unit with a view to phasing out any funding by 2019.

Let us bear in mind the purpose of a feed-in tariff - it is to compensate owners of solar panels (in this case) for generating their own electricity and, therefore, reducing demands on the grid. The grid was never really designed to accept an inflow of

electricity within our communities, though I have to say Western Power Distribution has coped very well with that challenge. The need to reduce demand through the grid has not changed that dramatically since 2010. Thankfully, the government has so far honoured contracts, once set up, so that solar panel owners know their income, once they sign up for, what is now, a 20 year period.

With dramatic cuts in the pipeline, the City Council, with Nottingham City Homes is, once more, gearing up to accelerate its PV installation programme. One thousand properties are initially being targeted across the priority areas through to the end of December 2015 (subject to review on the final outcome of the consultation) to benefit from the current feed-in tariff. After that, we will test the financial wisdom of expanding the programme to other areas of the City when new rates are clear.

It is disappointing that the government wants to reduce these incentives and it is our aim to help as many residents as possible to have solar PV installed within timescales, the true impact and available period for installations is yet to be determined. Many people in our city are in fuel poverty. I won't fiddle with the definition like this government and I want our communities to be warm and healthy in a manner that they can afford.

### European Union

Councillor Carole Jones asked the following question of the Deputy Leader:

Will the Deputy Leader inform this Council as to the level of monies that has come into Nottingham over the last 10 years as a result of the UK's membership of the European Union?

Councillor Graham Chapman replied as follows:

Can I thank Councillor Jones for her question. For the decade between 2006/7 and 2015/16 this City received £72 million worth of European investment. For anybody who can divide £72 million by the number of years, it comes to £7.2 million per year. For the decade 09/10 to 20/21, we are talking about £104 million, which averages out at £10.4 million, so it's on the increase and the investment is going into key areas such as jobs, skills, research and development, business support and business start-up.

For example, we have the Advanced Manufacturing Research Centre, Universal which has been subsidised by the European Union, Southglade Food Park, the New College Construction and Innovation Centre that we've all seen along the A610, the Broadway Media Centre, the NBV business start-ups in Basford, Sneinton Market, and there a number of other schemes in the City Centre that have benefited. I would draw your attention to some of the outer-City areas that have also benefitted substantially from European funding.

I'll contrast this with government support during a similar period, where money coming into the City has gone down. For transport it's held up, possibly because of the tram and the A453 developments, but in schools, housing, other infrastructure, and in particular, in terms of the revenue coming into the Council to support daily

services, government money has plummeted. In the same period, we will have lost well over £100 million worth of Revenue Support Grant.

I would also contrast the way that Europe distributes the money. For example, one of the factors is 'assisted areas' and those areas which need support. Nottingham, along with other Northern cities requires support and we get it. Places like Guildford and Woking do not get support because Europe has judged that they do not need it. In contrast with the government, it is a fact that the infrastructure investment in London currently matches the infrastructure investment for the whole of the rest of England. For transport infrastructure, London per capita massively outstrips transport infrastructure anywhere else in the country. The reduction in the government's Revenue Support Grant to councils in the midlands and north is far greater than anywhere down south. So what I would say to people in the City is that in relative terms, you get a far better deal from Europe than you do from your own government.

### Tram lines 2 and 3

Councillor Gul Khan asked the following question of the portfolio holder for jobs, growth and transport:

Can the Portfolio Holder for Jobs, Growth and Transport please tell us how successful the tram line 2 and 3 opening has been?

In the absence of Councillor Nick McDonald, Councillor Jane Urquhart replied as follows:

Thank you Lord Mayor and can I thank Councillor Khan for his question. Of course, lines 2 and 3 of the tram have been rather longer in coming than we had hoped but they are now open carrying passengers from Clifton to Phoenix Park and from Toton Lane to Hucknall.

The opening of the two new lines on Tuesday 25 August really did capture the imagination of thousands of local residents and visitors to the city, all keen to try out the new services, and I must say that watching the sun rise over Clifton with former councillor Ian Malcolm at 5.45 that morning before boarding the first tram with many other local Clifton and Wilford residents really was a very special moment.

Although it's still early days, the new services are operating a frequent, reliable and punctual tram service, interrupted of course, last Friday by the Tour of Britain, but I'm sure everybody understands those kinds of events causing the occasional issue. The tram operator has taken on over 100 new staff to deliver the services, the public feedback has been positive and though of course it was expected, that the new routes are already being used to access work, education and leisure opportunities. I know that the ability to get to the Queen's Medical Centre is a particular positive and it was great when I received an appointment letter recently for an out-patient's appointment for one of my children, it was great to see that the QMC were now advertising that the way to get there is by the tram. Of course, a further indication of the success of lines 2 and 3 is the continuing clamour that we now have from other places saying 'could I have a tram line please? When can we have one?' People in Gedling and Kimberley are particularly making their voices heard at the moment.

These lines have been long in the planning process and their construction has caused disturbances for those living alongside the works and those travelling in and out of our City during the construction period. So, I would like to place on record my thanks to all those residents and commuters for their patience and forbearance while we've been building this great facility. Thanks are also due to the councillors and officers who have been involved in the programme over many years. Nottingham Labour is a Labour Council that is good at long term thinking. We're good at bold decision making and we stick to our vision, so thank you very much to those councillors who were here when those original decisions were made. Some people were convinced right from the beginning that line 1 would not be our only tram line, and so, thanks to that vision, we are where we are today. Thank you also to our fantastic team of officers who have worked so hard to get us to where we are, and of course the route has not been easy. They have faced considerable pressure during those years and come through an enormous amount of scrutiny upon this project so thank you very much to all those officers.

This new network could not have happened without further political determination shown by many people over many years, and nowhere is that more clear than in its funding source because without the Workplace Parking Levy this would not have been achieved. We would never have opened lines 2 and 3 of the tram had we not taken the decision to introduce the Workplace Parking Levy. Our Tory opponents have repeatedly sought to play fantasy budget, suggesting that there were other ways to fund our local contribution to the tram. We were clear, Workplace Parking Levy was the only way to fund the tram because the other ways would have placed huge cost burdens on every single one of Nottingham's citizens and would have destabilised our City's finances for years to come. I know that, in answer to a later question placed by our Tory opposition, Councillor Chapman will be saying more about the range of ways that the Workplace Parking Levy has been used to ensure that Nottingham does have high quality integrated public transport serving our City by bus and by tram in a sustainable way that is the envy of cities all over the UK and further afield. It has taken political vision and political courage to introduce the Workplace Parking Levy from City Councillors who are still here today and from those who are no longer serving as councillors.

That was the only way that lines 2 and 3 have been able to open. There's not a magic alternative or magic pot of funding that we could have used. So, lines 2 and 3 are now open, they now serve 20 of the 30 largest employers in Greater Nottingham as well as key regeneration sites and they come within 800 metres of about 30% of Greater Nottingham's residential population. We have one of the best integrated public transport networks in the country and QMC is the only UK hospital directly served by a tram route. Of course, during the development of the tram these lines have provided work and training for thousands of Nottingham's citizens and have generated £150 million worth of contracts for companies in our region, much of that coming to Nottingham City companies. Again an indication, as Councillor Chapman was talking about just now, of our level of support and interest in driving the economy of our City. Those new routes directly serve Lenton, Meadows, Clifton and Beeston, supporting major regeneration efforts south of the City Centre, the southern gateway regeneration area and the tram has already driven interest in businesses relocation to our City.

The network serves all three of Nottingham's junctions of the M1, providing 5,500

park and ride spaces so Nottingham citizens and those visiting can more easily reach parks and sporting facilities including Victoria Embankment, Nottingham Tennis Centre and Clifton Leisure Centre. Of course, when it's Goose Fair time in a few weeks, I'm absolutely certain that there will be thousands and thousands of people attending from Beeston, from Clifton, from Lenton and from the Meadows, from all over the place using the tram network. Nottingham is already one of the least car-dependent cities in the country and has the lowest carbon emissions of all the core cities, partly due to the work that Councillor Clark was talking about earlier but also due to our transport policy. New tram lines are projected to take a further 3 million car journeys off our roads each year, contributing further reductions to our CO2 emissions.

But should we stop here? Well, when looking at line 1, councillor and colleagues decided that one tram line was not going to be the end of the story and certainly there is now no intention to rest on our laurels and stop at the lines we now have. Once again, of course, we will need to be clear in terms of our strategy and our vision but we must recognise that Nottingham's tram network is envied by many competing cities and it provides a unique opportunity to support economic growth and regeneration and to maximise the once in a lifetime opportunity that is expected to be brought by HS2. So, we will therefore look at the priority next routes for tram lines and evaluate where to go next.

Finally, I think it's the individual impacts that are perhaps the most profound: nurses who can now get to work in 15 minutes reliably, rather than having to leave an hour for journeys in case the traffic was bad, and in order to give time to find a parking space; a wheelchair user who I saw getting on the tram in Chilwell who was so thrilled to be able to go and see a play at the Theatre Royal who said 'it's so easy, I never thought I would be able to do this journey without a taxi but now I can'; or those older people, residents of Larkhill in Clifton and Sandby Court in Beeston, able to plan days out knowing that the tram can take them there and take them back. So, the opening has been a success and our tram network will continue to be a success. It will make sure that our City is a place that is ambitious for our economy and sustainable for our future and offers transport integration that is the envy of many.

### Free school meals

Councillor Linda Woodings asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Community Services:

Could the Portfolio Holder for Community Services tell us the impact upon the citizens of Nottingham if rumours of a 3<sup>rd</sup> recent broken manifesto commitment by the national government, namely the removal of free school dinners by the national government are confirmed as true?

Councillor Nicola Heaton replied as follows:

Thank you Lord Mayor and can I thank Councillor Woodings for your question. It's extremely concerning to hear media reports that the government is considering removing the universal free school meals for infants scheme so shortly after it was introduced. This concern is not only felt on this side, it extends to many teachers, many head teachers as witnessed in yesterday's letter to the Times, and nutritional

experts, and it will be felt strongly by many parents and by children across Nottingham.

Nottingham City's school catering service has successfully worked with schools and head teachers since the announcement of the scheme back in 2013. Additional capital funding was obtained to improve and create new kitchen facilities and equipment to meet the increase in demand and, as a result of the Council's work, only one school is now without a kitchen facility across the City and uses alternative arrangements for hot meals. This partnership working has seen an increase in the percentage of children in key stage 1 receiving meals, and that's gone from 49% in 2013/14 to 81% in the first year of the scheme. Overall numbers of meals served have increased from around 1.8 million to 2.45 million last year, and the objective of ensuring that a high proportion of key stage 1 children receive a hot, nutritious meal at school has been successfully achieved.

So, clearly, removing free school meals for all Key Stage 1 pupils would be extremely concerning and, quite frankly, it would be a sign that the Tories were becoming more extreme with every day of government, putting ideology over the interests of the country and trashing their own manifesto in the process. It is, quite frankly, a sign that the Chancellor is attempting to become the next Tory leader by following the path of Thatcher and becoming Osborne, the School Meals Snatcher.

Free school meals for all were a major step forward for children's health and for academic performance. They give kids a great start at school, opening them up to different foods and ensuring they get a proper meal in the middle of the day. Free schools meals also saves parents hundreds of pounds, £351 per child in Nottingham, recognising that in our insecure, low-pay economy poverty often transcends the bounds of the traditional criteria for free school meals. This Council has ensured the viability of the free school meals service and provided local people with employment. In Nottingham, 30 new posts were created for the service in September 2014. The removal of free school meals for all will therefore have an impact on local jobs as well as school funding which is already not protected, and it will make a farce of the money that schools and the Council have already spent upgrading kitchens to serve more meals, money that was spent because the government introduced the scheme in the first place.

Overall, the free school meals scheme has been really positive and yet another broken manifesto promise from the Tories will have a profound impact on Nottingham. If the government decides to remove the funding for this scheme I urge the Conservative members to lobby their ministers on behalf of the City to change their minds. I hope that the Nottingham Conservatives, like Nottingham Labour, feel that promises made to the electorate are not there to be reneged on 6 months later.

#### Photovoltaic installation programme

Councillor Andrew Rule asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Energy and Sustainability:

City Council - 14.09.15

I was encouraged that Nottingham City Council have recently secured funding from the Government's Green Deal in order to expand the City's domestic photovoltaic installation programme across the city. This is something that would complement the eco cladding works already completed to properties within Clifton especially. Could the Portfolio Holder confirm whether any decision has been taken as to which wards within the city will be considered for the first roll out of the scheme and what, if anything, my co-councillors and I can do to boost Clifton North's chances of being considered for the scheme?

Councillor Alan Clark replied as follows:

Thank you Lord Mayor, and I thank Councillor Rule for his question. I first need to point out that the next phase of domestic PV solar panels is being funded by the Housing Revenue Account. The solar PV programme being delivered by the City Council in partnership with Nottingham City Homes is targeting in the first instance our properties in areas that have been identified in the top 15% of deprivation. The prioritised areas identified in the first phase are Broxtowe, Aspley, Bulwell Hall, Crabtree Farm, Bells Lane, Hyson Green, Arboretum, Colwick, Cardale, Marmion, Strelley, Old Highbury Vale and Bilborough.

The inclusion of Clifton to complement the recent solid wall programme is something I think we can consider as we review our forward programme. We do need to be mindful of the potential dramatic reductions in feed-in tariffs, which will have an impact on the forward programme. On this, we should know the Government cuts by the end of October. I will ensure that the team consults with local ward councillors in due course.

#### Workplace Parking Levy

Councillor Jim Armstrong asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Growth, Jobs and Transport:

Could the Portfolio Holder for Jobs, Growth and Transport provide the Council with a list of all the projects the Workplace Parking Tax will pay for and the breakdown of the figures for those projects?

In the absence of Councillor Nick McDonald, Councillor Graham Chapman replied as follows:

I know it might surprise you but I thank you for the question. In 12/13 the Workplace Parking Levy raised £7.8 million, in 13/14 it raised £8.4 million, and in 14/15 it raised £9.09 million. The money is being invested in the tram programme (£190 million over its life period, bringing in a total of £480 million from our other additional investment, mainly from central government) and the station (£16 million was spent on that, as the contribution to the £60 million scheme which has meant it's levered in £44 million). It is also paying for link buses between hospitals, between park and ride and workplaces, and is also paying for Europe's largest fleet of electric buses which we will soon have in Nottingham and which we will be very proud of.

I'd also like to address another point. When we introduced the Workplace Parking Levy, we were told that it was going to devastate the economy. The streets were

going to be empty, there would be removal lorries blocking the exits to the City because firms would be rushing to move out and we would end up with tumbleweed going down some of our industrial estates. Well, has it happened after 3 years? No, it hasn't. Indeed, there has been no movement out of the City at all as a consequence of the Workplace Parking Levy. We get the movement in and out every year and I asked for it specifically a few months ago and there was no movement at all. If anything there has been an increase in investment in the area. Asda has moved into Bulwell, Northgate are moving in next week (we will be launching the Northgate development), there is a pension firm we announced last week just about to move in, and Speedo have moved within the City (they did not take the opportunity to move outside the City).

Now, when I was Leader we decided to have a push for the Workplace Parking Levy, and I've got to say it was not something I particularly wanted to do. When people come into politics, on the whole you'd like to be popular, well there is an element of it, you would actually like people to like you. Most of the time they don't, and when you take on something like the Workplace Parking Levy, you know there's going to be people who hate you. We had to put up with some horrible meetings, I've got to say the worst meeting of all was at the University of Nottingham where a colleague of my wife's actually was probably the most unpleasant of all the people I had to deal with.

Having said that there was very little alternative if you wanted the tram, if you wanted the station, and if you wanted the support to the workplaces. The alternative might have been what they do in France which is add levy to employment (there is a transport tax which is added to each individual's wage, effectively, it is paid for by the employee) and I would have thought that would have been more of a disincentive, because in this way you're getting people not just from within the City who are paying their Council tax but you are getting people from outside the City who are using our resources, and I think we thought it was fairest. I will remind people it is the only one in the northern hemisphere so it has taken an enormous amount of guts to do in the first place, but it's also taken an enormous amount of organisational ability to do it in a way in which all the money has been paid in. Do you know that we have not had one case of anybody defaulting on the Workplace Parking Levy. That is an excellent record, and I do congratulate the private sector on the way it has responded, even if it hasn't responded with what I would call goodwill.

#### Broadcast of meetings

Councillor Andrew Rule asked the following question of the Leader:

Given the Council Executive's drive to increase voter registration within the City, and the fact that there is a Council policy in place allowing the recording of public Council meetings where authorised by the Chair, would the Leader of the Council consider extending an invitation to local broadcasters, such as Notts TV, to see if they have an appetite for broadcasting Full Council Meetings and, where appropriate, Committee meetings as this may serve to increase voter engagement and interest in Council business within the City?

In the absence of Councillor Jon Collins, Councillor Graham Chapman replied as follows:

Thank you for the question, and thank you for the suggestion. The fact is that there is legislation, brought in by your government, which is clear that any person or member of the media can already report or broadcast any public meeting of this council. They can film, they can studio record or photograph should they wish to do so, so we would welcome it. The only caveat is that it does not interfere with the running of the meeting.

So, in a sense, there is already the opportunity. Now, given your question, we did contact Notts TV because we thought, well let's see what they think. And what they thought was that, actually, they are not in a position and probably do not want (I cannot think why, I mean it's just such a wonderful media opportunity) to broadcast council meetings other than at specific times where there are specific issues of interest. In other words, what they're after is a bit of excitement and if we produce that excitement that's fine but we need to pre-notify them of it. In other circumstances we're not that exciting which is a shame, but there you go.

### Fact checking Council Questions

Councillor Georgina Culley asked the following question of the Leader:

Could the Leader of the Council explain why an official level of extra checking of questions submitted to the Full Council will be introduced by yet another change to the Constitution in the near future? Is this a further attempt by the Labour Group to reduce the openness and scrutiny of the council?

In the absence of Councillor Jon Collins, Councillor Graham Chapman replied as follows:

I know that Councillor Culley tends to see conspiracies everywhere, but the filter that's been asked for is simply to ensure, when questions are being asked (and it applies to us as well as to you), that they have a grasp of the facts, that they are not based on groundless facts. You've already accused one of us of having groundless, spurious facts, well we accused you at the last council meeting of groundless, spurious facts gleaned from the Daily Mail.

And when that gets translated into a question, we've got to go scurrying around trying to rebut something which could have been rebutted right from the beginning and that wastes time and it reduces credibility of the council and it reduces standards. So what we're trying to do is make sure that whenever there is a question (it's a bit like letters to the Evening Post that you see where people accuse the council of doing things that are actually not our responsibility, in fact in some cases they're the responsibility of the county council but people seem to think we do everything in the whole of Nottinghamshire). What this is, is an attempt to make people, to impose discipline of good questions which are factually based. That's what it's about and it applies to us as well as to you. It saves time and it saves money. It saves energy and it stops antagonism.

**36 TO CONSIDER A REPORT OF THE LEADER ON DECISIONS TAKEN UNDER THE URGENCY PROCEDURES**

The Deputy Leader submitted a report on decisions taken under urgency procedures, as set on pages 21 to 29 of the agenda.

**RESOLVED to note the urgent decisions taken as follows:**

**1) urgent decisions (exempt from call-in)**

<u>Decision reference number</u>	<u>Date of decision</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Value of decision</u>	<u>Reasons for urgency</u>
2054	29/06/2015	Groewood Cottage & Coach House, Holgate, Clifton Village, Nottingham, NG11 8NH	Dependent upon offers received	To avoid delay in carrying out an auction.
2059	08/07/2015	Approval of the costs of an adult social care package	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2060	08/07/2015	Nottingham Ice Centre	Exempt	To allow for urgent assistance to be provided to the Ice Centre.
2063	13/07/2015	Letting of the 5-a-side Football Pitches at Harvey Hadden Sports Complex, Wigman Road, Bilborough	Exempt	To allow for immediate work to take place onsite.
2067	15/07/2015	Broadmarsh Car Park	Up to £900,000	Any delay would seriously prejudice the Council's position.
2075	17/07/2015	Lease of Premises	Exempt	To comply with legal deadlines.
2079	21/07/2015	Consultation on a review of fees and charging for Adult Social Care	Nil	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2096	27/07/2015	Cost of child in care placement	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2097	27/07/2015	Cost of child in care placement	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2098	27/07/2015	Cost of child in care placement	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.

<u>Decision reference number</u>	<u>Date of decision</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Value of decision</u>	<u>Reasons for urgency</u>
2099	27/07/2015	Cost of child in care placement	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2100	27/07/2015	Cost of child in care placement	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2101	27/07/2015	Cost of child in care placement	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2102	27/07/2015	Cost of child in care placement	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2103	27/07/2015	Cost of child in care placement	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2104	27/07/2015	Cost of child in care placement	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2105	27/07/2015	Cost of child in care placement	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2106	27/07/2015	Cost of an adult care package	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2109	28/07/2015	Cost of an adult care package	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2110	28/07/2015	Cost of an adult care package	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2111	28/07/2015	Cost of an adult care package	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2114	29/07/2015	Bioscience Expansion Project 2015	Exempt	To allow for immediate work to take place.
2116	29/07/2015	Approval for the costs of a placement for a child in care	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2117	29/07/2015	Approval for the costs of a placement for a child in care	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.

<u>Decision reference number</u>	<u>Date of decision</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Value of decision</u>	<u>Reasons for urgency</u>
2124	10/08/2015	Appointment of specialist rating surveyors to undertake the 2010 Rating List Appeals and Rate Audit work when instructed	£75,000 - £100,000	In order to meet the contractual deadline.
2126	11/08/2015	Application to waiver right to buy discount - 200 Greenwood Road, Bakersfield, Nottingham NG3 7FY	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2135	17/08/2015	Approval of the costs of an adult care package	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2140	19/08/2015	9 and 9A Poulton Drive, Colwick, Nottingham NG2 4BN	£80,000	To ensure that the schedule date for remedial work is not missed.
2143	17/08/2015	Approval of the costs of a placement for a child in care	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2145	25/08/2015	Approval of the costs of a placement for a child in care	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2146	25/08/2015	Approval of the costs of a placement for a child in care	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2147	25/08/2015	Approval of the costs of a placement for a child in care	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2150	30/08/2015	Payment for Schools Out holiday provision from April 2015 to March 2016	£80,000	Payment is required imminently.
2155	02/09/2015	Feasibility Study - Proposed redevelopment in the City Centre	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
2158	02/09/2015	Approval of the costs of a placement for a child in care.	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.

## 2) Key decisions (special urgency procedures)

<u>Date of decision</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Value of decision</u>	<u>Decision Taker</u>	<u>Reasons for special urgency</u>
08/07/2015	Nottingham Ice Centre	Exempt	Deputy Leader of the Council	To allow for urgent assistance to be provided to the Ice Centre.
27/07/2015	Lease of Premises	Exempt	Leader of the Council	To comply with legal deadlines.
29/07/2015	BioScience Expansion project 2015	Exempt	Leader of the Council	To allow for immediate work to take place.

**37 TO CONSIDER A REPORT OF THE LEADER ON THE CRIME AND DRUGS PARTNERSHIP PLAN 2015 TO 2020**

The Deputy Leader submitted a report on the Crime and Drugs Partnership Plan 2015 to 2020, as set out on pages 31 to 43 of the agenda.

**RESOLVED to approve the Crime and Drugs Partnership Plan 2015 to 2020.**

**38 TO CONSIDER A REPORT OF THE LEADER ON THE CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

The Deputy Leader submitted a report on the Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee, as set out on pages 45 to 51 of the agenda. The membership of the Committee was circulated as an addendum prior to the meeting.

**RESOLVED to**

- (1) establish the Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee;**
- (2) agree the terms of reference and first meeting date of the Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee, as detailed in appendix 1 of the report;**
- (3) agree the membership of the Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee as detailed below:**

<b>Labour Group</b>	<b>Conservative Group</b>
<b>Councillor Brian Parbutt (Chair)</b>	<b>Councillor Georgina Culley</b>
<b>Councillor Azad Choudhry</b>	
<b>Councillor Josh Cook</b>	
<b>Councillor Mohammed Ibrahim</b>	
<b>Councillor Patience Ifediora</b>	
<b>Councillor Glyn Jenkins</b>	
<b>Councillor Neghat Khan</b>	
<b>Councillor Chris Tansley</b>	

**39 TO CONSIDER A REPORT OF CHAIR OF THE APPOINTMENTS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE COMMITTEE (ACOS) ON THE APPOINTMENT OF CORPORATE DIRECTORS**

Councillor Dave Liversidge submitted a report on the appointment of Corporate Directors, as set out on pages 9 to 12 of the supplementary agenda.

**RESOLVED to**

- (1) accept the ACOS recommendation and confirm Andrew Vaughan as Corporate Director of Commercial and Operations;**
- (2) to accept the ACOS recommendation and delegate the statutory duties of Director of Adult Social Services pursuant to Section 6 of the Local Authorities Social Services Act 1970 (as amended by the Children Act 2004) to Helen Jones;**
- (3) to accept the ACOS recommendation and confirm the appointment of Connor Glen O'Connell as Corporate Director of Resilience with statutory responsibilities under Section 5 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 as Monitoring Officer;**
- (4) to accept and confirm the appointment of Geoff Walker as Chief Finance Officer pursuant to Section 151 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989.**